

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,095	02/22/2002	David Allen Loewenstein		2840	
75	90 03/18/2003				
David A. Loewenstein		•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
802 King Street			COLLINS, D	COLLINS, DOLORES R	
Rye Brook, NY 10573					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\neg \circ$
_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/081,095	LOEWENSTEIN, DAVID ALLEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dolores R. Collins	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staft - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	the timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.	2 February 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	f/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		yaminer			
	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	cation No.			
Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Example * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language p					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,095

Art Unit: 3711

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Stanton.

Stanton discloses Improvements in and relating to Playing cards. Stanton teaches cards with arranged into four suits on one side and values on the other side (page 1, lines 14-24 & figures1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoyt et al in view of Stanton.

Hoyt et al. discloses Playing Cards And Method For Playing card Games

Therewith.

Regarding claims 2 - 6

Hoyt teaches:

A deck of playing cards where some cards are standard cards with non-descriptive backs and cards, which have a value on one side (i.e. split representation) - see abstract, claims 3-10 & figures 1-3. Hoyt further teaches that the game of poker is played as one of the embodiments and that his cards are dealt certain locations and

Application/Control Number: 10/081,095

Art Unit: 3711

specific to the game of Tic-Tac-Toe. It is know that in the game of poker, cards are dealt face down, one or more bets are made, players are allowed to modify hands by exchanging cards and games are resolved using paytables. Hoyt fails to explicitly teach that his cards with values on the first side also have suits on the second side and that his cards are dealt in the shape of a diamond.

Stanton discloses Improvements in and relating to Playing cards. Stanton teaches cards with arranged into four suits on one side and values on the other side (page 1, lines 14-24 & figures 1-4).

It would be obvious to modify the cards of Hoyt to include the explicit teachings of Stanton to add excitement to the game played by the players.

It would be obvious to deal cards in whatever shape that is desired since shape would constitute a design issue. Additionally, the dealing of cards in various shapes is well known in the art (e.g. in the game of *Memory* cards are dealt in the shape of a square, in the game of *Solitaire*, cards are ultimately dealt in the shape of a triangle.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/081,095

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Moody, Hoyt et al. (155), Weigl, Smith, jr. and Smith are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(703) 308-8352*. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *PAUL SEWELL* can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is *(703)* 308-1148.

X

March 5, 2003

Benjamin H. Layno Parnary Examiner